Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation
and a violation of
the use of the
airwaves.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line, their political and commerical agenda and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

I fully intend to talk with community members about this issue and I personally am going to boycott programming on my local affiliate channel, it one of the few actions I can take to voice my dissent with this decision. And I will

alert the local station to my decision. I have friends, I have co-workers, I have relatives and I have acquantances and regardless of our political leanings, we all agree that this type of forced programming is simply unaccpetable.

Sincerely,